WRITTEN ASSIGNMENT 2 McGill University Nicholas hayek

CONTENTS

Question 1	1
Question 2	3
Question 4	5
Question 5	6
Question 6	7

By axiom:

1.
$$(a + b\varepsilon) + (c + d\varepsilon) = (a + c) + (b + d)\varepsilon$$

 $(c + d\varepsilon) + (a + b\varepsilon) = (c + a) + (d + b)\varepsilon$
 $= (a + c) + (b + d)\varepsilon$ since \mathbb{R} commutative
 $\implies x + y = y + x \ \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$
2. $(x + y) + z = [(a + b\varepsilon) + (c + d\varepsilon)] + (e + f\varepsilon)$
 $= [(a + c) + (b + d)\varepsilon] + (e + f\varepsilon)$
 $= (a + c) + e + [(b + d) + f]\varepsilon$
 $= a + (c + e) + [b + (d + f)]\varepsilon$

3. Let 0, our zero element, be $0 := (0 + 0\varepsilon)$. Then, for $x = (a + b\varepsilon) \in R$, we have

 $= (a+b\varepsilon) + [(c+d\varepsilon) + (e+f\varepsilon)] = x + (y+z)$

$$x + \mathbb{O} = (0 + 0\varepsilon) + (a + b\varepsilon) = (a + 0) + (0 + b)\varepsilon = a + b\varepsilon$$

- 4. Let the additive inverse of x, -x, be defined as $-x = -a b\varepsilon$. Then, for $x \in R$, we have $x + (-x) = a a + (b b)\varepsilon = 0 + 0\varepsilon = 0$
- 5. Consider x(yz).

$$\begin{aligned} x(yz) &= (a+b\varepsilon)[(c+d\varepsilon)(e+f\varepsilon)] \\ &= (a+b\varepsilon)[ce+(cf+de)\varepsilon] \\ &= ace+[a(cf+de)+bce]\varepsilon \\ &\implies ace+(acf+ade+bce)\varepsilon \end{aligned} \qquad (xy)z = [(a+b\varepsilon)(c+d\varepsilon)](e+f\varepsilon) \\ &= [ac+(ad+bc)\varepsilon](e+f\varepsilon) \\ &= ace+[acf+e(ad+bc)]\varepsilon \\ &\implies ace+(acf+ead+ebc)\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Using distributive property of the reals.

6. Let $\mathbb{1} := 1 + 0\varepsilon$. Then, for $x \in R$, we have

$$1 \cdot x = (1 + 0\varepsilon)(a + b\varepsilon) = a(1) + [a(0) + b(1)]\varepsilon = a + b\varepsilon = x$$

and

$$x \cdot \mathbb{1} = (a + b\varepsilon)(1 + 0\varepsilon) = a(1) + [b(1) + a(0)]\varepsilon = a + b\varepsilon = x$$

7. Consider x(y + z) for $x, y, z \in R$.

$$\begin{aligned} x(y+z) &= (a+b\varepsilon)[(c+d\varepsilon) + (e+f\varepsilon)] \\ &= (a+b\varepsilon)[(c+e) + (d+f)\varepsilon] \\ &= a(c+e) + [a(d+f) + b(c+e)]\varepsilon \\ &= ac(c+e) + [a(d+bc) + (af+be)]\varepsilon \\ &= ac + ae + [(ad+bc) + (af+be)]\varepsilon \\ &= ac + (ad+bc)\varepsilon + ae + (af+be)\varepsilon \\ &= (a+b\varepsilon)(c+d\varepsilon) + (a+b\varepsilon)(e+f\varepsilon) \\ &= xy + xz \end{aligned}$$
$$(y+z)x &= [(c+e\varepsilon) + (e+f\varepsilon)](a+b\varepsilon) \\ &= [(c+e) + (d+f)\varepsilon](a+b\varepsilon) \\ &= [(c+e)a + [(c+e)b + (d+f)a]\varepsilon \\ &= ca + ea + [(cb+da) + (eb+fa)]\varepsilon \\ &= [ca + (cb+da)\varepsilon] + [ea + (eb+fa)\varepsilon] \\ &= (c+d\varepsilon)(a+b\varepsilon) + (e+f\varepsilon)(a+b\varepsilon) \\ &= yx + zx \end{aligned}$$

Consider an inverse x^{-1} such that $x \cdot x^{-1} = 1$, where $x = (a + b\varepsilon)$, $x^{-1} = (X + Y\varepsilon)$, and $1 = (1 + 0\varepsilon)$ as above. Then we need $(a + b\varepsilon)(X + Y\varepsilon) = (1 + 0\varepsilon)$.

 $\implies aX + (aY + bX)\varepsilon = 1 + 0\varepsilon$ $\implies aX = 1 \implies X = \frac{1}{a}$. Thus, we need $aY + \frac{b}{a} = 0 \implies Y = \frac{-b}{a^2}$. $X + Y\varepsilon = x^{-1}$ only exists, then, if $a \neq 0$ (or else we will be dividing by 0). We can conclude, since $q \in R := r\varepsilon$ is non-zero, for which we've shown there's

We can conclude, since $q \in R := r\varepsilon$ is non-zero, for which we've shown there's no inverse, that *R* is not a field.

Let $a \oplus b = a + b - 1$ and $a \otimes b = ab - a - b - 2$. Once again, we'll show all 7 axioms:

1. $a \oplus b = a + b - 1 = b + a - 1 = b \oplus a$ $a \oplus (b \oplus c) = a \oplus (b + c - 1)$ = a + b + c - 2 = (a + b - 1) + (c - 1) $= (a \oplus b) + c - 1$ $= (a \oplus b) \oplus c$

3. Let $\mathbb{O} = 1$. Then $a \oplus \mathbb{O} = a + 1 - 1 = \boxed{a} = 1 + a - 1 = \mathbb{O} \oplus a$

4. Let -a := 2 + (-1)a. Then $a \oplus -a = a + 2 - a - 1 = 1 = 0$ from above

5.

2.

$$a \otimes (b \otimes c) = a \otimes (bc - b - c + 2)$$

= $a(bc - b - c + 2) - a - (bc - b - c + 2) + 2$
= $abc - ab - ac + 2a - a - bc + b + c - 2 + 2$
= $abc - ab - ac - bc + a + b + c \quad \star$
 $(a \otimes b) \otimes c = (ab - a - b + 2) \otimes c$
= $(ab - a - b + 2)c - (ab - a - b + 2) - c + 2$
= $abc - ac - bc + 2c - ab + a + b - 2 - c + 2$
= $abc - ab - ac - bc + a + b + c \quad \star$

6. Let 1 = 2. Then, for any $a \in R$, we have

 $a \otimes 1 = a(2) - a - 2 + 2 = a$

Additionally, $\mathbb{1} \otimes a = 2a - 2 - a + 2 = a$, as desired

7. Lastly, for any $a, b, c \in R$

$$a \otimes (b \oplus c) = a(b \oplus c) - a - (b \oplus c) + 2$$

$$= a(b + c - 1) - a - (b + c - 1) + 2$$

$$= ab + ac - a - a - b - c + 1 + 2$$

$$= (ab - a - b + 2) + (ac - a - c + 2) - 1$$

$$= (a \otimes b) \oplus (a \otimes c)$$

$$(b \oplus c) \otimes a = (b \oplus c)a - (b \oplus c) - a + 2$$

$$= (b + c - 1)a - (b + c - 1) - a + 2$$

$$= ba + ca - a - b - c + 1 - a + 2$$

$$= (ba - b - a + 2) + (ca - c - a + 2) - 1$$

$$= (b \otimes a) \oplus (c \otimes a)$$

Thus, *R* is a ring. To show that *R* is also a field, we need to find *b* such that $a \otimes b = 1 = 2$ as above:

$$\implies ab - a - b + 2 = 2$$
$$\implies b(a - 1) = a$$
$$\implies b = \frac{a}{a - 1}$$

Note that our inverse does not exist for a = 1. However, from (3), 0 = 1, and so our inverse *does* exist for all non-zero elements of *R*.

Lastly, we need to show that $a \otimes b = b \otimes a$:

$$a \otimes b = ab - a - b + 2 = ba - b - a + 2 = b \otimes a$$

 $\implies \mathbb{R}$ under \otimes and \oplus is a field

Lemma: if $a \in R$, $a \cdot \mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}$.

Since a + 0 = 0, we have 0 = 0 + 0

 $\implies a \cdot \mathbb{0} = a \cdot \mathbb{0} + a \cdot \mathbb{0}$

 $\implies 0 = a \cdot 0$

From here, let 1 = 0. Then we have

$$a = a \cdot 1 = a \cdot 0 = 0 \quad \forall a \in R \implies R = \{0\}$$

Let *R* be a ring with exactly two elements. Per set theory (and the previous question), $a \neq b$. However, we require *R* to have both a \mathbb{O} and $\mathbb{1}$ element, and so WLOG assume $a = \mathbb{O}$, $b = \mathbb{1}$.

For all non-zero elements of *R*, i.e. for 1, we have

 $1 \cdot 1 = 1$

Thus all non-zero elements in *R* have a multiplicative inverse.

 $1 \cdot 0 = 0 = 0 \cdot 1$, and so *R* is commutative under multiplication.

 \implies *R* is a field

Let *R* have exactly 3 elements. By the same logic used above, $R := \{0, 1, a\}$, where *a* is distinct from both 0 and 1.

There exists 2 non-zero elements of *R*, 1 and *a*.

For *a*, we have $a \cdot a =$ "something," which itself is contained in *R*. It cannot equal 0, since that would imply that a = 0. It cannot equal *a*, because that would imply that a = 1. Thus, it must equal 1, and so *a* is its own inverse.

As before, 1 is its own multiplicative inverse.

 $\forall x \in R$, with $x \neq 0$, $\exists y : xy = yx = 1$.

As before, 1 and 0 act commutativity under multiplication, and further $x \cdot x = x \cdot x \ \forall x \in R$, trivially. Then, we only need to consider:

 $a \cdot 1 = \boxed{a} = \mathbb{1} \cdot a$ and $a \cdot \mathbb{0} = \boxed{\mathbb{0}} = \mathbb{0} \cdot a$

 \implies *R* is a field.